

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virguna 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/987,459 11/14/2001 NEC01P205-HIa 6999 Tarou Kaneko 7590 05/22/2003 McGinn & Gibb, PLLC EXAMINER Suite 200 WONG, ERIC K 8321 Old Courthouse Road Vienna, VA 22182-3817 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2874

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/987,459	KANEKO, TAROU
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Eric Wong	2874
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed  ys will be considered timely  the mailing date of this communication.
1)[>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	April 2003	
2a)□		is action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		
,	closed in accordance with the practice under lition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.
4)🖸	Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 9, 11, 13, 15, 17-28, 35, 37, 39, 41 and 43-48 is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	5) Claim(s) <u>5-8 and 31-34</u> is/are allowed.		
6)[]	6) Claim(s) 1-4, 10, 12, 14, 16, 29-30, 36, 38, and 42 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
	on Papers	·	
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)☐ objected to by the Exa	miner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• • •
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☑ All b) Some * c) None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No
	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
	☐ The translation of the foreign language prov		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
Attachment	• •		
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u> .		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev	- · - · ·	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 09/987,459 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 29-34, 36, 38, 40 and 42 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Unelected claims 9, 11, 13, 15, 17-28, 35, 37, 39, 41, and 43-48 have been withdrawn from consideration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 10, 29, 30, 36, 38 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent Number 6,181,849 to Lin et al.

As to claims 1, 3, 4, 29, 30, and 40, Lin discloses in figures 7A-7C, an arrayed waveguide grating comprising:

- One or plural input and output waveguides for inputting signal lights;
- A plurality of input and output waveguides for outputting signal lights;
- A channel waveguide array having waveguides which are successively longer with predetermined waveguide length differences (67);
- An input slab waveguide connecting an input end of said channel waveguide array to said input waveguides; and
- An input and output slab waveguide (65) connecting an input end of said channel waveguide array to said output waveguides and having optical input/output

Art Unit: 2874

characteristics set to predetermined ratios for the respective output waveguides with respect to said input waveguides (Column 6, Lines 48-53).

As to claims 10, 36, 38, and 42, input ends of the waveguides have central positions displaced from corresponding focused positions in a direction perpendicular to central axes of the input waveguides (Figure 7A).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin as applied to claims above and in further view of United States Patent Number 6,188,818 to Han et al.

Lin discloses an arrayed waveguide grating with an output slab waveguide connecting an input end of said channel waveguide array to said output waveguides and having optical input/output characteristics set to predetermined ratios for the respective output waveguides with respect to said input waveguides, but fails to explicitly disclose said ratio depending on the differences between optical losses along respective paths in the output slab waveguide.

Han discloses a method of adapting waveguides in order to minimize insertion loss.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to alter and adapt a waveguide with optical losses along paths in the input or output slab waveguide to achieve minimal optical losses.

Application/Control Number: 09/987,459

Art Unit: 2874

Page 4

6. Claim 12, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin as applied to claims above and in further view of United States Patent Number 5,901,259 to Ando et al.

Lin discloses an arrayed waveguide grating with input and output waveguides, but fails to explicitly disclose input waveguides being inclined at the interconnected points.

Ando teaches constituting a waveguide device by inserting the optical waveplate into an optical waveguide such that the waveplate is inclined form the longitudinal section of the waveguide which minimizes losses.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide an inclined surface to reduce losses (Column 5, Lines 55-59).

### Allowable Subject Matter

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art made of record fails to explicitly disclose or reasonably suggest a core layer being partly cutoff in paths interconnecting the waveguide channel array. Therefore claims 5-8, 31-34

#### Conclusion

- 8. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 01/10/2002 and 02/14/2002 have been considered by the examiner and made of record (note the attached copy of form PTO-1449).
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
  - a. United States Patent Number 6,418,249 to Nakamura et al. for a arrayed waveguide grating with slab waveguides with input/output characteristics set to predetermined ratios for respective output waveguides.

Application/Control Number: 09/987,459 Page 5

Art Unit: 2874

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Wong whose telephone number is 703-305-4741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830AM - 430PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on 703-308-4819. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7724 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

EW May 15, 2003

HEMANG SANGHAVI